

Community Engagement (Optional Sign-In & Comments)

Please share your information and comments below if you choose to do so.

Date	Name (optional)	Organization/Affiliation (optional)	Email/Phone (optional)	Comments / Questions / Ideas
May 6. 2026	Community Member 1			For it,
May 6. 2026	Community Member 2			Beautify the walking path. Rink Roof.
May 6. 2026	Community Member 3			For it, Wants it on returned lands. Supports economic benefits and wants it away from community homes and out of the way.
May 6. 2026	Community Member 4			Neutral/ Invest in HOUSING
May 6. 2026	Community Member 5			Against it, don't want don't need it. Invest in community, minor sports,

				Kahnawake Youth Center.
May 6. 2026	Community Member 6			Do not support, Support the roof on the rink and arena. Water all the way down the OCR. Solar Lighting for old rink. Town Gas Station
May 6. 2026	Community Member 7			Support the rink in the middle of the town. Funds were raised for the main rink and moved to the arena.
May 6. 2026	Community Member 8			Put the funds towards farming. Pave 207
May 6. 2026	Community Member 9			Should be more gaming, Supporter for it. At the knights meeting 9 years ago. Should be open to other members of the community. Do more to help the youth in the community.

				No natural resources, need to use what we have. Location. Do more for the youth sports. As long as it helps the youth. Less fortunate need to be helped. No Monopoly. Should be the kids. Second ambulance full time. Should be more operators.
May 6. 2026	Community Member 10			We need more gaming than a monopoly. Private, people can see where the money the goes. Lack of trust for council. Put the money into a titled fund, education, dentistry, Full reporting. Funding for schools. Titled in a way so community can apply for it. Should have a similar fund for Tobacco. Not a 50 avenue fund, just one sector.

				Would like to see a peoples casino. Not MCK owned. Not fair to have a Monopoly. No MONOPOLY
May 6. 2026	Community Member 11			Don't want them in social clubs. Want those around us spending money. Doesn't make sense for public funding for a community owned establishment and a private owned pocketing millions.

May 6. 2026	Community Member 12			Raised questions about revenue sharing, allocation of Gaming Commission funds, and requested further discussion on the issue.
May 6. 2026	Community Member 13			Rewarding to be there for the people. Volunteer workers want compensation. They work hard. Volunteer Honorariums <u>MONEY IN HOUSING</u>
May 13, 2026 Down	Community Member 14			Do not support, a lot of gambling addiction. Personal experience. Borrowing from family members. Not opposed if something is given back or if MCK runs it.

				Community Benefits.
May 13, 2026	Community Member 15			No issue with it. No societal issues. No societal collapse, no significant pushback or revolts. No issue moving forward. Problems during early 90s when VLT machines were taken from social clubs. Hesitant to see them in social clubs. Dedicated gaming environments. Competition leads to a race to the bottom. Prefer to see expansion at proven and existing environments.

				For licenses it would have to be in dedicated gaming environments. All standards to be met. DO NOT want in the village. Would support 132 and 138. Slow gradual implementation of zoning for commercial reasons.
May 13, 2026	Community Member 16			Against all gaming. Golden Age club, Elder Supports. establishments needs to support as well. People making a lot of money and then get into trouble and they want Council to help them.

				MCK should have input in a new establishment. Water Down 207. Housing investments
May 13, 2026	Community Member 17			I want it to be for the community, Housing,
May 13, 2026	Community Member 18			Have to entertain every gaming option that we can on this side of the law. EGD, OTB, Any. Need to give royalties back to Kahnawake. If a white man comes and leaves money what is the problem. Lotto Quebec putting up terminals taking out money already. Another establishment

				would be good. Do not monopolize. I like the direction it is going in. We need it. Need to make our own money. Refusal of dental, eye glasses everything. Community has to pull it's share. Charge to bring students to school for the bus. Community to pitch in too. Sense of entitlement with community members. No need for volunteer First Responders.
--	--	--	--	---

				Sub-stations, relay stations for First responders. All for this
May 13, 2026	Community Member 19			Community owned would be good. Look at what happened to other establishments. Understand the difficulty in targeting specific funding for specific purposes. CIF difficult to nail down where the funds went. No convincing some people based on principal. 1999 public policy against VLT in social clubs. Pull tab horror stories. I am a proponent. Believe the economy and

				market can provide enough support for A or possibly more than one other permit. 2 were successful. Gaming Market Assessment should drive this. Market can sustain and easiest way to generate the funding the community needs. Affluent communities come from gaming or tobacco. Governance wise, gives you the tools to govern. Community needs and demands services. Generating funds for community, going to provide services being asking for and
--	--	--	--	--

				pushing jurisdictional envelope. Strikes all three levels.
May 13, 2026	Community Member 20			Bring back community transportation. Walking Parks, bridge gap between the village and new development. Programs for 18-30. Checkpoints. Tolls. More security for our community.
May 13, 2026	Community Member 21			I support the regulation of EGD's. I'd like to see one that gives back to the community without having to invest. Id like to

				see more Kahnawake job creation as well. For KKR residents only.
May 13, 2026	Community Member 22			Support the expansion
May 13, 2026	Community Member 23			Good cause, put the funds towards farming. Pave 207 and tower line road.
May 13, 2026	Community Member 24			Want a fair chance if there are more permits
May 13, 2026	Community Member 25			Ensure vetting/financial support

Thematic Summary of Feedback Received

Theme	What people said	Illustrative examples from comments
Overall support, but often conditional	Many comments supported expansion, but support was usually tied to conditions such as community benefit, proper location, or fair regulation.	“For it”; “Support the expansion”; “All for this”; “I support the regulation of EGD’s”; “Not opposed if something is given back or if MCK runs it.”

Community benefit and reinvestment	The strongest recurring theme was that any expansion should clearly benefit Kahnawà:ke and return funds to the community.	Repeated calls to invest in housing, youth sports, education, dentistry, elder supports, schools, transportation, security, and emergency response.
Housing as a top priority	Housing came up repeatedly as one of the clearest preferred uses of revenue.	“Invest in HOUSING”; “MONEY IN HOUSING”; “Housing investments”; “I want it to be for the community, Housing.”
Youth, sports, and community programs	Many comments linked support for EGDs to visible benefits for youth and families.	“Do more to help the youth in the community”; “minor sports”; “Kahnawake Youth Center”; “Programs for 18–30”; “As long as it helps the youth.”
Infrastructure and local improvements	Several people suggested using revenues for practical infrastructure and service improvements.	Walking path beautification; rink roof; arena improvements; water service down OCR/207; paving Route 207 and Tower Line Road; solar lighting; transportation; first responder substations.
Opposition to monopoly / desire for fair access	A major theme was resistance to a monopoly and support for either more than one operator or a fair permitting process.	“No Monopoly”; “Should be more operators”; “Want a fair chance if there are more permits”; “Another establishment would be good”; “We need more gaming than a monopoly.”
Community ownership, MCK role, or stronger oversight	Some people preferred a model where the community or MCK has a direct role, while others wanted clearer public accountability even if not fully MCK-owned. The email submissions reinforced a strong preference for community	“Community owned would be good”; “Not opposed if something is given back or if MCK runs it”; “MCK should have input in a new establishment”; “Would like to see a peoples casino. Not MCK owned.”; “Community owned only or nothing.”

	ownership over private ownership.	
Transparency and trust in fund allocation	Multiple comments reflected concern about where money would go and whether people would actually see community benefits.	“People can see where the money goes”; “Lack of trust for council”; “Put the money into a titled fund”; “Full reporting”; “CIF difficult to nail down where the funds went.”
Location and zoning concerns	Support was often linked to keeping EGDs out of residential or sensitive community spaces and locating them in appropriate commercial or dedicated gaming areas.	“Wants it on returned lands”; “away from community homes”; “Don’t want them in social clubs”; “Dedicated gaming environments”; “DO NOT want in the village”; support for specific corridors like 132/138.
Concern about gambling addiction and social harms	Some comments opposed expansion because of the risk of addiction, financial hardship, legal uncertainty, or negative impacts on the community.	“Do not support, a lot of gambling addiction”; “Borrowing from family members”; “Against all gaming”; references to past “horror stories” and concerns about people getting into trouble; “I cannot support this.”
Economic development and self-sufficiency	Supporters often framed expansion as a practical way to generate revenue, create jobs, and strengthen local self-sufficiency.	“Need to make our own money”; “No natural resources, need to use what we have”; “Kahnawake job creation”; “easiest way to generate the funding the community needs”; “gives you the tools to govern.”
Need for regulation, standards, and vetting	Some people supported expansion if it is carefully regulated, vetted, financially sound, and does not create avoidable legal or policy risk.	“I support the regulation of EGD’s”; “For licenses it would have to be in dedicated gaming environments”; “All standards to be met”; “Ensure vetting/financial support”; discussion of AML and process; Suggested that MCK wait until related legal matters are resolved

		before issuing additional EGD permits, citing concerns about legal risk and community funds.
--	--	--

Reference Table: Comments by Theme

Comment #	Overall position	Main theme(s)	Reference summary
1	Supportive	Overall support	For expansion.
2	Neutral / issue-focused	Infrastructure and local improvements	Suggested beautifying the walking path and fixing the rink roof.
3	Supportive with conditions	Overall support; Location and zoning concerns; Economic development	Supported expansion, preferred returned lands, wanted economic benefits, and wanted EGDs away from homes.
4	Neutral	Housing; Community benefit and reinvestment	Wanted revenue invested in housing.
5	Opposed	Youth, sports, and community programs;	Opposed expansion but wanted

		Community benefit and reinvestment	investment in minor sports and the Kahnawà:ke Youth Center.
6	Opposed	Infrastructure and local improvements; Community benefit and reinvestment	Did not support expansion and instead pointed to rink, arena, water, lighting, and gas station improvements.
7	Neutral / issue-focused	Infrastructure and local improvements	Wanted support for the town rink and raised concern about previous rink funding being moved.
8	Neutral / issue-focused	Infrastructure and local improvements	Suggested farming and paving Route 207.
9	Supportive with conditions	Overall support; Opposition to monopoly / fair access; Youth, sports, and community programs; Community benefit and reinvestment; Economic development; Location and zoning concerns;	Supported more gaming, wanted more operators, no monopoly, youth benefits, help for less fortunate residents, economic self-sufficiency, and attention to

		Infrastructure and local improvements	location and ambulance service.
10	Supportive with conditions	Opposition to monopoly / fair access; Transparency and trust in fund allocation; Community ownership / MCK role / oversight; Community benefit and reinvestment; Need for regulation, standards, and vetting	Wanted no monopoly, transparent reporting, a titled fund for community uses, a people's casino model, and discussion of AML and process.
11	Conditional / mixed	Location and zoning concerns; Community ownership / MCK role / oversight	Did not want EGDs in social clubs and questioned public funding for a community-owned site while a private operator profits.
12	Neutral / issue-focused	Community benefit and reinvestment	Raised revenue sharing and allocation questions and wanted deeper discussion.
13	Neutral / issue-focused	Housing; Community benefit and reinvestment	Highlighted volunteer honorariums and strongly

			emphasized housing.
14	Conditional / mixed	Concern about gambling addiction and social harms; Community benefit and reinvestment; Community ownership / MCK role / oversight	Did not support because of addiction and family hardship concerns, but said support could increase if there were community benefits or an MCK role.
15	Supportive with conditions	Location and zoning concerns; Need for regulation, standards, and vetting	Supported moving forward if EGDs stayed in dedicated gaming environments, met standards, stayed out of the village, and were placed in appropriate commercial areas.
16	Opposed	Concern about gambling addiction and social harms; Community benefit and reinvestment; Community ownership / MCK role / oversight; Infrastructure and local improvements; Housing	Opposed gaming, raised concerns about people getting into trouble, wanted supports for elders, MCK input, water

			service, and housing investment.
17	Neutral / issue-focused	Housing; Community benefit and reinvestment	Wanted revenue to benefit the community, especially housing.
18	Supportive with conditions	Overall support; Community benefit and reinvestment; Opposition to monopoly / fair access; Economic development; Infrastructure and local improvements	Supported pursuing gaming options, wanted royalties back to Kahnawà:ke, opposed monopoly, emphasized making our own money, and suggested service and first responder improvements.
19	Supportive with conditions	Community ownership / MCK role / oversight; Transparency and trust in fund allocation; Concern about gambling addiction and social harms; Opposition to monopoly / fair access; Economic development;	Supported expansion, preferred community ownership, noted difficulty tracking past funds, referenced prior harms in social clubs,

		Community benefit and reinvestment	supported more than one permit, and framed gaming as a tool for revenue and governance.
20	Neutral / issue-focused	Infrastructure and local improvements; Youth, sports, and community programs; Community benefit and reinvestment	Wanted transportation, walking parks, programs for ages 18–30, checkpoints, and community security.
21	Supportive with conditions	Need for regulation, standards, and vetting; Community benefit and reinvestment; Economic development	Supported regulated EGDs that give back to the community and create jobs for Kahnawà:ke residents.
22	Supportive	Overall support	Supported the expansion.
23	Supportive with conditions	Infrastructure and local improvements; Community benefit and reinvestment	Supported the idea as a good cause and wanted funds directed to farming and paving Route 207 and Tower Line Road.

24	Conditional / mixed	Opposition to monopoly / fair access	Wanted a fair chance if more permits are issued.
25	Conditional / mixed	Need for regulation, standards, and vetting	Emphasized the need for vetting and financial support.
26 (Email)	Opposed	Concern about gambling addiction and social harms	Email submission opposing expansion: "I cannot support this."
27 (Email)	Supportive with conditions	Overall support; Community ownership / MCK role / oversight	Supported expansion, but only if a new EGD or gaming facility is community owned and operated rather than privately owned.
28 (Email)	Conditional / cautious	Need for regulation, standards, and vetting	Urged MCK to wait until the legal court case with the other establishment is finalized before issuing additional permits, citing risk to community

			funds and legal exposure.
29(Email)	Supportive with conditions	Community ownership / MCK role / oversight; Community benefit and reinvestment	Supported expansion only if it is community owned rather than privately owned, emphasizing that benefits should go to the community instead of individuals.

Email/Messenger Feedback Received – EGD Engagement

Date	Feedback	Overall Position
May 13, 2026	I cannot support this.	Opposed
May 13, 2026	I support the expansion of EGD's and gaming in Kahnawake. However I support community owned and not private ownership. A new EGD or gaming facility should be owned and operated by the community.	Supportive with conditions
May 25, 2026	It is my personal opinion and wish that before MCK issues any additional EGD permits, that it waits for its legal court case with the other establishment to be finalized. There is already enough money tied up in legal proceedings over gaming; we don't need to expand that risk for our community and its funds.	Conditional / cautious
May 25, 2026	No more privately owned. Community owned only or nothing. It's about time the community benefits not individuals	Supportive with conditions

Appendix A: Late Comments Received After Feedback Deadline

The following three comments were received from PRU on June 16, 2026, after the feedback period had closed. The feedback deadline was June 5, 2026, at 4:00 PM. Although these comments were submitted after the deadline, they are being included in this

appendix for transparency and to ensure the late feedback received is reflected in the public record.

Tallied Late Comments

Category	Count	Notes
Late comments received after deadline	3	Received from PRU on June 16, 2026, after the June 5, 2026, 4:00 PM deadline.
Opposed	2	Two late comments opposed additional EGD permits or expansion, citing concerns such as gambling harms, safety, mental health, consultation, legal matters, traffic, emergency services, and community impacts.
Supportive with conditions / revenue-focused	1	One late comment focused on taxation or revenue-sharing conditions and proposed direct payments to Kahnawà:kehró:non and reinvestment in community-based supports.

Late Comments Received

Date Received	Submission	Overall Position
June 16, 2026 Received after deadline	I am expressing my deep concerns and strong objections regarding gaming machines. This is another attack on our community's mental and financial health. This is gambling and now anyone can apply for a permit. Keep this out of our town; if people want to gamble, they can go to places where it already exists. Allowing this will only bring more harm to the safety of community members, where many already do not feel safe. Safety does not just encompass physical safety, but mental health as well. Our community is already affected by vape shops, cigarettes, bars, gaming places, and now this. Where are the regulations	Opposed

	and who will enforce them? Our Peacekeepers are already overwhelmed with other regulations. All of this brings in more non-locals. I am totally against EGDs in or around our community or establishments that may exist within the town proper. Bars are one thing, but adding machines to them will not have a good outcome. I am totally against this for the community, families, and our sanity. Rather than putting us at risk through gaming, create something that benefits the whole community and not only those with the money to create these establishments. Outside investors will push their way in. No more lawsuits against MCK; no EGDs in town. Decisions made today will have an impact on future generations. Youth should be consulted and given the opportunity to provide their perspectives.	
June 16, 2026 Received after deadline	I am writing this letter as a concerned member of this community. In my opinion, MCK should not distribute any more EGD permits for several reasons. In January 2026, MCK announced the expansion of electronic gaming device permits. MCK has the tendency to announce and not consult on many important decisions that affect our community. There was no consultation before posting this statement, and I have concerns with this form of governing. Although May 2026 was designated to collect feedback regarding potential EGD expansion, I consider this timeframe too short to give the community a fair chance to provide input. I was unable to attend the engagements due to prior commitments. Reason 1: The legal issue involving an existing gaming establishment has not been resolved and MCK wants to issue more permits. How does this make sense? Reason 2: I am aware of the issue of problematic gambling. Community members suffer from addictions, including	Opposed

	gambling. We should not increase opportunities to gamble. There should also be a halt on increasing the number of EGDs at existing gaming establishments; whatever number of machines they have should be capped. Reason 3: Gaming establishments have an impact on emergency services, including the Peacekeepers and the Kahnawà:ke Fire Brigade, which have seen increased demands for assistance with emergencies at these places. Reason 4: More permits will increase traffic in the community, which we do not need. More traffic means more accidents, more strangers, and more DUIs. Reason 5: MCK needs to consult the community on different ways to increase revenue that do not include gambling. Let's build a community that our children will be proud of.	
June 16, 2026 Received after deadline	The total yearly profits must be subject to a form of tax, based on progressive taxation brackets similar to those used in Quebec, since there is currently no taxation of suppliers, operators, or gross gaming revenue. If a law is passed to tax gross gaming revenue or suppliers, it should follow the taxation system that is put into law. For example, Ontario uses 20%. This taxation must go directly to Kahnawà:kehró:non in a manner similar to the recent Inflationary Benefit Payment, whether yearly, monthly, or weekly if possible. Once payments have gone out to Kahnawà:kehró:non, the remaining funds from that taxation should go to community-based organizations to help reduce everyday problems faced by Kahnawà:kehró:non. Examples could include expanding and financing subsidies to stores selling essential food items such as bread, eggs, milk, fruit, vegetables, butter, and canned goods, with the purpose of keeping prices lower than outside the community.	Supportive with conditions / revenue-focused

	Businesses whose profits primarily come from alcohol, cigarettes, or vapes should not be eligible for the subsidy. If profits are at or exceed the amount listed, then the amount should be based on that particular bracket. This is subject to change should Quebec implement a gross gambling revenue tax or supplier and operator tax: 14% up to \$54,345 19% over \$54,345 but less than \$108,680 24% over \$108,680 but less than \$132,245 25.75% over \$132,245	
--	--	--